

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 10 2005

In Re Application of: Anderson *et al.*

Conf. No.: 1115

Serial No.: 10/604,116

Art Unit: 2823

Filed: 06/26/2003

Dkt. #: BUR920030031US1 (IBMB-0028)

Title: METHOD OF FORMING
FREESTANDING
SEMICONDUCTOR LAYER

Examiner: Garcia, Joannie A

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

DESTINATION FACSIMILE NUMBER: 703-872-9306

Transmitted herewith is: **Response to Restriction Requirement in 3 pages**
in the above identified application.

- ☒ No additional fee is required.
- ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No. 09-0456 (IBM) as described below.
- ☒ Credit any overpayment.
- ☒ Charge any additional fee required.



Spencer K. Warnick, Reg. No. 40,398

DATE: January 10, 2005

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Jennifer Desbiens
(Person transmitting this correspondence)

SignatureJanuary 10, 2005

Date

If you receive this correspondence in error or do not receive the entire transmission, please notify us at (518) 449-0044.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 10 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of: Anderson *et al.*

Conf. No.: 1115

Serial No.: 10/604,116

Art Unit: 2823

Filed: 06/26/2003

Dkt. #: BUR920030031US1 (IBMB-0028)

Title: METHOD OF FORMING
FREESTANDING
SEMICONDUCTOR LAYER

Examiner: Garcia, Joannie A

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

This paper is being filed in response to the Restriction Requirement dated December 21, 2004. Applicants hereby provisionally elect Group I, claims 1-10 and 16-20, with traverse.

REMARKS

Claims 1-20 are pending in the application. By this Response, claims 1-11 and 16-20 have been elected for examination. Prompt examination on the merits is respectfully requested.

Applicants first note that in the Restriction Requirement, the Office erroneously identified claims 165-20 as part of group I. Applicants assume it is a typographical error, however clarification is respectfully requested.

10/604,116

1